RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)

FOR

AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF

RT73 CANOLA FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/4

APPLICANT: MONSANTO MALAYSIA SDN. BHD.

DATE: 7 JULY 2020

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 13 February 2020, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism herbicide tolerant RT73 canola. The application was filed by Monsanto Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as "the applicant"). There was no request for any additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 8 January 2020 to 6 February 2020 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media Comments were received from Consumers Association of Penang (CAP), Parti Sosialis Malaysia and Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). GMAC took into considerations comments regarding glyphosate toxicity and contamination level, unintended release of the product and its effect on the environment and smallholders/farmers, compositional differences as well as requirement for labelling of the RT73 canola.

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism herbicide tolerant RT73 canola. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, RT73 canola has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. RT73 canola is approved in the European Union, United States of America, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, China, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Philippines, Singapore and Taiwan and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and industrial products in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic canola. The type of expected use of the products derived from RT73 canola in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional canola. Potential users of products derived from RT73 canola such as seeds are feed millers, food processors and other industrial use.

Canola is primarily grown for its seed oil, which is used as a cooking oil and for other food and industrial applications. The seed meal which remains after oil extraction is used as animal feed. The term canola refers to varieties of *B. napus* that contain less than 2% erucic acid in the oil and less than 30 µmoles/g of glucosinolates in the seed meal, so are considered suitable for human and animal consumption.

Information about RT73 canola

The recipient or parental plant is *Brassica napus* L. (canola). RT73 canola was developed using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation to introduce the *cp4 epsps* and *goxv247* genes derived from *Agrobacterium* sp. strain CP4 and *Ochrobactrum anthropic* strain LBAA respectively, into the canola genome. These genes produce the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (CP4 EPSPS) and the modified Glyphosate oxidoreductase (GOXv247) proteins. The CP4 EPSPS protein displays reduced affinity for glyphosate and the GOXv247 protein catalyzes the breakdown of glyphosate into the non-toxic compounds aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) and glyoxylate. Together, the CP4 EPSPS and GOXv247 proteins confer glyphosate tolerance to the RT73 canola and all canola lines/ varieties derived from this event.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

(i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants**.**

(ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).

(iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

(i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application

(ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and

(iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk

management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms, nutritional equivalence and anti-nutritional content.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain and cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes.

Based on the above, a final list of 19 potential hazards was identified. All of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or "negligible".

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities. Canola is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia, thus, there is no issue of outcrossing.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material

c) Compromised nutritional content

Compositional analyses of the forage and seed samples showed no significant difference in nutritional composition between RT73 canola and conventional canola.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicate potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 19 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.

b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of RT73 canola, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.

d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.

e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.

b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.

c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.

d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.

e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985; and GM food labelling guidelines.

f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in canola consignments are below the acceptable maximum residual level established. It is recommended that importers are required to provide certificate of analysis for herbicide residues prior to shipment.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product

a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the RT73 canola shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII –Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism herbicide-tolerant RT73 canola and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII - Bibliography

1. CFIA, 1994. The Biology of Brassica napus L. (Canola/Rapeseed). Canadian Food Inspection Agency, BIO1994-09.

2. Conner et al. (2003). The Release of Genetically Modified Crops into the Environment. *Plant J. 2003 Jan;33(1):1-18*

3. Conner AJ, Glare TR, Nap J-P., 2003. The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. The Plant Journal 33: 19-46.

4. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees (2001). Transgenic crops in natural habitats. Nature 409:682-683.

5. Devos et. al.,2011. Feral genetically modified herbicide tolerant oilseed rape from seed import spills: Are concerns scientifically justified? Transgenic Res, M-453027-01-1

6. de Vries J, Wackernagel W (2004). Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. *Plant and Soil* 266: 91-104

7. FAO-WHO (1991). Strategies for assessing the safety of foods produced by biotechnology. *Report of joint FAO/WHO consultation*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

8. FAO-WHO (2001). Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. *Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

9. Harrison, L.A., M.R. Bailey, M.W. Naylor, J.E. Ream, B.G. Hammond, D.L. Nida, B.L. Burnette, T.E. Nickson, T.A. Mitsky, M.L. Taylor, R.L. Fuchs and S.R. Padgette (1996). The expressed protein in glyphosate-tolerant soybean, 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase from Agrobacterium sp. strain CP4, is rapidly digested in vitro and is not toxic to acutely gavaged mice. Journal of Nutrition 126:728-740.

10. Haslam, E. (1993). Introduction, commentary and overview. Pages 1-50 in Shikimic Acid: Metabolism and Metabolites. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Chichester, England.

11. ILSI-CERA (2010). A review of the environmental safety of the CP4 EPSPS protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, Washington, D.C.

12. James, C. (2015). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2015. ISAAA Briefs No. 51. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications, Ithaca, New York.

13. Kees, P. (2008). Risk from GMOs due to Horizontal Gene Transfer. *Environ Biosafety Res.* 2008 Jul-Sep;7(3):123-*49. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014*

14. Klee, H.J., Y.M. Muskopf and C.S. Gasser (1987). Cloning of an Arabidopsis thaliana gene encoding 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase: Sequence analysis and manipulation to obtain glyphosate-tolerant plants. Molecular and General Genetics 210:437-442.

15. Metcalfe, D.D., J.D. Astwood, R. Townsend, H.A. Sampson, S.L. Taylor and R.L. Fuchs. (1996). Assessment of the allergenic potential of foods derived from genetically engineered crop plants. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition.* 36: S165-S186.

16. Myers J.R., 2006. Outcrossing Potential for Brassica Species and Implications for Vegetable Crucifer Seed Crops of Growing Oilseed Brassicas in the Willamette Valley. Oregon State University Extension Service, Special Report 1064.

17. Nagaharu U., 1935. Genome-analysis in Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of B. napus and peculiar mode of fertilization. Japanese Journal of Botany 7: 389-452.

18. Nielsen, K.M. (1998). Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. APMIS 106: 77-84

19. Nielsen, K.M., Bones, A.M., Smalla, K., van Elsas, J.D. (1998). Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria – a rare event? FEMS Microbiology Reviews 22: 79-103

20. Nielsen, K.M., Van Elsas, J.D., Smalla, K. (2000). Transformation of Acinetobacter sp. Strain BD413 (pFG4ΔnptII) with Transgenic Plant DNA in Soil Microcosms and Effects of Kanamycin on Selection of Transformants. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66: 1237-1242.

21. OECD, 1997a. Consensus Document on the Biology of Brassica napus L. (Oilseed Rape). Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development, Paris, OECD/GD (97)63.

22. OECD, 2012. Consensus Document on the Biology of the Brassica Crops (Brassica spp.). Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO (2012)41.

23. OGTR, 2002. The biology and ecology of canola (Brassica napus). Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, http://www.health.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/canola-3/$FILE/brassica.pdf.

24. OGTR, 2011. The Biology of Brassica napus L. (canola). Office of the Gene Technology Regulator,http://www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/content/canola-3/$FILE/BiologyCanola2011.pdf.

25. Padgette, S.R., D.B. Re, G.F. Barry, D.E. Eichholtz, X. Delannay, R.L. Fuchs, G.M. Kishore and R.T. Fraley (1996). New weed control opportunities: Development of soybeans with a Roundup ReadyTM gene. Pages 53-84 in Herbicide-Resistant Crops: Agricultural, Environmental, Economic, Regulatory, and Technical Aspects. S.O. Duke (ed.). CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.

26. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray (2013). Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. Transgenic Research 23:971-983.

27. Salisbury, P. 2002. Genetically Modified Canola in Australia: Agronomic and Environmental Considerations. Australian Oilseeds Federation, Wilberforce, N.S.W., 107 pp.

28. Scheffler J.A., Parkinson R., Dale P.J., 1993 .Frequency and distance of pollen dispersal from transgenic oilseed rape (Brassica napus). Transgenic Research 2: 356-364.

29. Schönbrunn, E., S. Eschenburg, W.A. Shuttleworth, J.V. Schloss, N. Amrhein, J.N.S. Evans and W. Kabsch (2001). Interaction of the herbicide glyphosate with its target enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase in atomic detail. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98:1376-1380.

30. Shaw, R.H. (1988). Climate requirement. In: Sprague, G.F., Stelly, M., Fuccillo, D.A., Perelman, L.S., Dudley, J.W. (Eds.), Corn and corn improvement. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp. 609-638

31. Silvanovich, A., M.A. Nemeth, P. Song, R. Herman, L. Tagliani and G.A. Bannon (2006). The value of short amino acid sequence matches for prediction of protein allergenicity. Toxicological Sciences 90:252-258.

32. Steinrücken, H.C. and N. Amrhein (1980). The herbicide glyphosate is a potent inhibitor of 5-enolpyruvylshikimic acid-3-phosphate synthase. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. 94: 1207-1212.

33. M.W. Naylor (1993). Acute oral toxicity study of CP4 EPSPS protein in Albino mice. Monsanto study report no MSL-13077

34. Leach, J.N. et al (2002). Assessment of the in vitro digestibility of purified E.coli produced CP4 EPSPS protein in simulated gastric fluid. Monsanto study report no MSL-17566

35. Van Eenennaam AL, Young AE. 2014. Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. Journal of Animal Science 92: 4255-4278.

Appendix I

GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF RT73 CANOLA FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- **Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)**
- **Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment sub-committee Leader)**
- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia - retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah - retired)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Assoc. Prof. Sharifah Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
- Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture - retired)
- Madam Norizan Jaafar (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)
- Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)